



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/756,811	01/13/2004	Michael Tjader	1523.056US1	1505		
21186	7590 10/23/2006	0 10/23/2006		EXAMINER		
SCHWEGMAN, LUNDBERG, WOESSNER & KLUTH, P.A. P.O. BOX 2938 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402			COY, NICOLE A			
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			3672			
		DATE MAILED: 10/23/2006				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Refore the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Applicant(s)		
TJADER, MICHAEL		
Art Unit	-	
3672		
	TJADER, MICHAEL Art Unit	

Before the Filling of all Appear Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Nicole Coy	3672					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 12 October 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
 ☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: a) ☐ The period for reply expires months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) ☑ The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In 							
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN							
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	06.07(f). on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.5 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing date.	I36(a) and the appropria of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi te of the final rejection,	ite extension fee iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as even if timely filed,				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).							
AMENDMENTS 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because							
(a) ∑ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in beautiful appeal; and/or 	tter form for appeal by materially re		the issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.					
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		•					
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).	llowable if submitted in a separate,						
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proof The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	⊠ will not be entered, or b) ☐ winded below or appended.	II be entered and an	explanation of				
Claim(s) objected to: <u>2,3,6,20 and 23</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,4,5,7-14,19,21,22</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	ut before or on the date of filing a N nd sufficient reasons why the affida	otice of Appeal will <u>n</u> vit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary and				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	hed.				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:							
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).							
13. Other:							
Chavin Bagnelk							
	SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMI	VER					
	SUPERVIOUR TO CENTED SERV						

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The limitations added to claims 1, 11, and 19 require further search and consideration. The new claim 24 would also require further search and consideration. Furthermore, applicant cancelled one claim and added 5 new claims.